

Ramsey Number on A Union of Stars Versus A Small Cycle

Hasmawati, Jusmawati*

Abstract

The Ramsey number for a graph G versus a graph H , denoted by $R(G, H)$, is the smallest positive integer n such that for any graph F of order n , either F contains G as a subgraph or \bar{F} contains H as a subgraph. In this paper, we investigate the Ramsey numbers for stars versus small cycle. We show that $R(S_8, C_4) = 10$ and $R(kS_{1+p}, C_4) = k(p + 1) + 1$ for $k \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3$.

Keywords: Ramsey number, star, cycle.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite and simple. Let G be any graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. The graph \bar{G} , the *complement* of G , is obtained from the complete graph on $|V(G)|$ vertices by deleting the edges of G . A graph $F = (V', E')$ is a subgraph of G if $V' \subseteq V(G)$ and $E' \subseteq E(G)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, $G[S]$ represents the *subgraph induced* by S in G . For $v \in V(G)$ and $S \subseteq V(G)$, the *neighborhood* $N_S(v)$ is the set of vertices in S which are adjacent to v . Furthermore, we define $N_S[v] = N_S(v) \cup \{v\}$. If $S = V(G)$, then we use $N(v)$ and $N[v]$ instead of $N_{V(G)}(v)$ and $N_{V(G)}[v]$, respectively. The degree of a vertex v in G is denoted by $d_G(v)$. The order of G , denoted by $|G|$, is the number of its vertices. Let S_n be a *star* on n vertices and C_m be a *cycle* on m vertices. *Cocktail-party graph* H_s is the graph which is obtained by removing s disjoint edges from K_{2s} . We denote the *complete bipartite* whose partite sets are of order n and p by $K_{n,p}$. A *windmill graph* M_n is a graph on $2n + 1$ vertices obtained from n disjoint triangles by identifying precisely one vertex of every triangle.

Given two graphs G and H , the Ramsey number $R(G, H)$ is defined as the smallest natural number n such that for any graph F on n vertices, either F contains G or \bar{F} contains H . Chvatal and Harary (1972) established a useful and general lower bound on the exact Ramsey numbers $R(G, H)$ as follows.

Theorem 1. (Chavatal, Harary, 1972)

Let G and H be two graphs (not necessarily different) with no isolated vertices. Then the following lower bound holds,

$$R(G, H) \geq (x(G) - 1)(n(H) - 1) + 1,$$

where $x(G)$ is the chromatic number of G and $n(H)$ is the number of vertices in the largest component of H .

* Combinatorial Mathematics Research Group, Jurusan Matematika, Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam, Universitas Hasanuddin.

This result of the Chavatal and Harary has motivated various authors to determined the Ramsey numbers $R(G,H)$ for many combinations of graphs G and H , see the nicesurvey paper Radziszowski (2006).

Corollary 1.

$$R(S_{1+p}, C_4) \geq (x(C_4) - 1)(V(S_{1+p}) - 1) + 1 = p + 1.$$

Some results about the Ramsey numbers for stars versus cycle have obtained. For instance, Lawrence (1987) showed that $R(S_{16}, C_4) = 20$ and

$$R(S_{1+p}, C_4) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } m \geq 2n, \\ 2n + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd and } m \leq 2n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Parsons (1975) considered about the Ramsey numbers for S_{1+p} versus C_4 as presented in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. (Parson's Upper Bound)

For $p \geq 2$,

$$R(S_{1+p}, C_4) \leq p + \sqrt{p} + 1.$$

Recently, Hasmawati *et al.* (2006, 2009) proved that $R(S_6, C_4) = 8$, and $R(S_6, K_{2,m}) = 13$ for $m=5$ or 6 respectively. Recently, Baskoro *et al.* (2006) determined the Ramsey numbers for multiple copies of a star versus a wheel and for a forest versus a complete graph. Their results are given in the following three theorems.

Theorem 3. (Baskoro *et al.*, 2006)

If m is odd and $5 \leq m \leq 2n-1$, then

$$R(kS_n, W_m) = 3n - 2 + (k - 1)n.$$

Theorem 4. (Baskoro *et al.*, 2006)

For $n \geq 3$,

$$R(kS_n, W_4) = \begin{cases} (k + 1)n & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \geq 2, \\ (k + 1)n - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5. (Baskoro *et al.*, 2006)

Let $n_i \geq n_{i+1}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. If m is such that $n_i > (n_i - n_{i+1})(m - 1)$ for every i , then $R(\cup_{i=1}^k T_{n_i}, K_m) = R(T_{nk}, K_m) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$.

In this paper, we study the Ramsey numbers for multiple copies of stars versus small cycle. We determine the Ramsey numbers $R(S_8, C_4)$ and $R(kS_{1+p}, C_4)$ for $p \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$.

2. Main Results

The results are presented in the next two theorems.

Theorem 6.

$$R(S_8, C_4) = 10.$$

Proof. Consider $F := H_4 \cup K_1$. Clearly, F has nine vertices and contains no S_8 . Its complement is isomorphic with M_4 . Thus it's clear that M_4 contains no C_4 . Hence, we have $R(S_8, C_4) \geq 10$. By Parson's upper bound in Parsons (1976), $R(S_8, C_4) \leq 8 + \sqrt{7}$. Therefore, we have $R(S_8, C_4) \leq 10$. Thus, $R(S_8, C_4) = 10$.

Lemma 1.

For $k \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3$. Consider $F := K_{k(p+1)-1} \cup K_1$. F has $k(p+1)$ vertices, however it contains no kS_{1+p} . It is easy to see that \bar{F} is isomorphic with $K_{1, k(p+1)-1}$. So, \bar{F} contains no C_4 . Hence, $R(kS_{1+p}, C_4) \geq k(p+1) + 1$.

Theorem 7.

For $p \geq 3$,

$$R(2S_{1+p}, C_4) = 2(p+1) + 1.$$

Proof. Let F_1 be a graph of order $2(p+1) + 1$ for $p \geq 1$. Suppose \bar{F}_1 contains no C_4 . By Parson's upper bound, we have $|F_1| \geq R(S_{1+p}, C_4)$ for $p \geq 1$. Thus $F_1 \supseteq S_{1+p}$. Let $V(S_{1+p}) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ with center v_0 . Write $A = F_1 \setminus S_{1+p}$ and $T = F_1[A]$. Thus $|T| = p+2$. If there exists $v \in T$ with $d_T(v) \geq p$, then T contains S_{1+p} . Hence F_1 contains $2S_{1+p}$. Therefore, we assume that for every vertex $v \in T$, $d_T(v) \leq (p-1)$.

Let u be any vertex in T . Write $Q = T \setminus N_T[u]$. Clearly, $|Q| \geq 2$. Observe that if there exists $s \in F_1$ where $s \neq u$ which is not adjacent to at least two vertices in Q , the proof we will use the following assumption.

Assumption 1. Every vertex $s \in F_1$, $s \neq u$ is not adjacent to at most one vertex in Q .

Let u be adjacent to at least $p - |N_T(u)|$ vertices in $S_{1+p} \setminus \{v_0\}$, call them $v_1, \dots, v_p - |N_T(u)|$. Observe that $p - |N_T(u)| = |Q| - 1$. By Assumption 1, vertex v_0 is adjacent to at least $|Q| - 1$ vertices in Q , namely $q_1, \dots, v_p - |N_T(u)|$. Then we have two new stars, namely S'_{1+p} and S''_{1+p} , where

$$V(S'_{1+p}) = (S_{1+p} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_p - |N_T(u)|\}) \cup \{q_1, \dots, v_p - |N_T(u)|\}$$

With v_0 as the center and

$$V(S''_{1+p}) = N_T[u] \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_p - |N_T(u)|\}$$

With u as the center. Hence, we have $F_1 \supseteq 2S_{1+p}$.

Now we assume that u is adjacent to at most $p - |N_T(u)| - 1$ vertices in $S_{1+p} \setminus \{v_0\}$. This means u is not adjacent to at least $|N_T(u)| + 1$ vertices in $S_{1+p} \setminus \{v_0\}$. Let $Y = \{Y = y \in S_{1+p} \setminus \{v_0\} : yu \notin E(F_1)\}$. Then $|Y| \geq |N_T(u)| + 1 \geq 1$. It will be shown that there is $y' \in Y$ so that y' is adjacent to all vertices in $N_T(u)$ (see Figure 1). Suppose for every $y \in Y$, there exists $r \in N_T(u)$ such that $yr \notin E(F_1)$. Since $|N_T(u)| < |Y|$, then there exists $r_0 \in N_T(u)$ so that r_0 is not adjacent to at least two vertices in Y , say y_1 and y_2 . This implies, $\bar{F}_1[u, r_0, y_1, y_2]$ forms a C_4 , a contradiction. Hence, there exists $y' \in Y$ so that y' is adjacent to all vertices in $N_T(u)$. Furthermore, by Assumption 1 we have that $|N_T(y')| \geq |N_T(u)| + |Q| - 1 = |T| - 2 = p$.

Let q' be the vertex in Q which y' . If $v_0u \notin E(F_1)$, then v_0 must be adjacent to q' . (Otherwise \bar{F} would contain C_4 formed by $\{v_0, y', q', u\}$). Now we have two new stars, namely S_{1+p}^1 and S_{1+p}^2 , where $VS_{1+p}^1 = N_T[y']$ with y' as the center and $VS_{1+p}^2 = S_{1+p} \setminus \{y'\} \cup \{q'\}$. If $v_0u \in E(F_1)$, then we also have two new stars. The first one is S_{1+p}^1 as in the previous case and the second one is S_{1+p}^3 where $VS_{1+p}^3 = S_{1+p} \setminus \{y'\} \cup \{u\}$ with v_0 as the center. In case that y' is adjacent with all vertices in Q , then the first star is $N_T[y'] \setminus \{q\}$ and the second star is S_{1+p}^4 where $V(S_{1+p}^4) = S_{1+p} \setminus \{y'\} \cup \{q\}$, $q \in Q$ with v_0 as the center. The fact $v_0q \in E(F)$ is guaranteed by Assumption 1. Therefore, we have $R(2S_{1+p}, C_4) = 2(p+1) + 1$. The proof is now complete.




Figure. 1. An illustration of Proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3.

For $p \geq 3$ and $k \geq 3$,

$$R(kS_{1+p}, C_4) = k(p+1) + 1.$$

To obtain the ramsey number we use induction on k . We assume the theorem holds for every $2 \leq r \leq k$. Let F_2 be a graph of order $k(p+1)+1$. Suppose $\bar{F}_2 \supseteq kS_{1+p}$. By induction hypothesis, $F_2 \supseteq (k-1)S_{1+p}$. Write $B = F_2 \setminus (k-2)S_{1+p}$ and $T' = F_2[B]$. Thus $|T'| = 2(p+1) + 1$. Since \bar{T}' contains no C_4 and follows from Theorem 2 that T' contains $2S_{1+p}$. Hence F_2 contains $(k-2)S_{1+p} \cup 2S_{1+p} = kS_{1+p}$. Thus we have $R(kS_{1+p}, C_4) \leq k(p+1) + 1$. On the other hand, we have $R(kS_{1+p}, C_4) \geq k(p+1) + 1$ (by Lemma 1). The assertion follows.

References

- Baskoro, E.T., Hasmawati, Assiyatun, H., 2006. The Ramsey numbers for disjoint unions of trees. *Discrete Math.*, 306, 3301.
- Burr, S.A., 1983. Diagonal Ramsey numbers for small graphs. *Journal Graph Theory*, 7, 67-69.

- Chavatal, V. and Harary, F., 1972. Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, III: Small of diagonal number. *Journal of Math.*, 41, 335-345.
- Hasmawati, Assiyatun, H., Baskoro, E.T., Salman, A.N.M., 2006. The Ramsey numbers for complete bipartite graphs. *Proceedings of The First Internasional Conference on Mathematics and Statistics, ICOMS-I*, Bandung Indonesia, June 19-21.
-
- _____, 2009. Complete bipartite Ramsey numbers. *Utilities Math.* (to appear)
- Rosyda, I., 2004. *Ramsey numbers for a combination of stars and complete bipartite graphs*. Master Thesis, Department of Mathematics ITB, Indonesia.
- Lawrence, S.L., 1987. Cycle-star Ramsey numbers. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20, 420.
- Parsons, T.D., 1975. Ramsey graphs and block design I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 209, 33-34.
- _____, 1976. Ramsey graphs and block design., *J. Combin. Theory*, Ser. A 20, 12-19.
- Radziszowski, S.P., 2006. Small Ramsey numbers. *Electron. J. Combin*, DS1.11.